



Capital Structure Decisions and Firm Performance: New Evidence from Emerging Markets

Nikhilesh Chukkapalli¹

¹First-Year Student, Bachelor of Science in Business Administration, Kelley School of Business - Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana, U.S.A.

Cite This Paper as: Nikhilesh Chukkapalli (2026) Capital Structure Decisions and Firm Performance: New Evidence from Emerging Markets *The Journal of African Development 1, Vol.7, No.1, 757-761*

KEYWORDS

Capital Structure, Firm Performance, Leverage, Emerging Markets, ROA, ROE.

ABSTRACT

This study examines the relationship between capital structure decisions and firm performance in emerging markets, where financial constraints, market imperfections, and institutional factors significantly influence corporate financing behavior. Using panel data from selected non-financial firms operating in emerging economies, the study analyzes how leverage ratios—such as debt-equity ratio and long-term debt—affect firm performance measured through Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), and Tobin's Q. Employing regression techniques, the findings reveal a significant association between capital structure and firm performance, supporting the trade-off and pecking order theories in the context of emerging markets. The results provide valuable insights for managers, investors, and policymakers in optimizing financing decisions to enhance firm value and financial sustainability....

1. INTRODUCTION

Capital structure decisions constitute one of the most critical aspects of corporate financial management, as they determine the proportion of debt and equity used by firms to finance their operations and investments. The choice of an optimal capital structure has long been a central issue in financial theory and practice because it directly influences a firm's cost of capital, risk profile, profitability, and overall market value. Since the seminal work of Modigliani and Miller (1958), numerous studies have attempted to explain how financing decisions affect firm performance, leading to the development of prominent theories such as the trade-off theory, pecking order theory, and agency cost theory.

In developed economies, capital markets are relatively efficient, information asymmetry is lower, and firms have greater access to diverse financing sources. However, the dynamics of capital structure decisions in emerging markets differ significantly due to institutional weaknesses, underdeveloped financial markets, regulatory constraints, and higher macroeconomic volatility. Firms operating in emerging economies often face limited access to long-term capital, higher borrowing costs, and greater dependence on bank financing. These structural differences raise important questions regarding the applicability of traditional capital structure theories in such contexts and their implications for firm performance.

Emerging markets have gained substantial importance in the global economy over the past two decades, contributing significantly to global output, trade, and investment. As firms in these economies expand and compete in both domestic and international markets, efficient financial decision-making becomes increasingly crucial for sustaining growth and competitiveness. Capital structure decisions, in particular, play a vital role in determining how firms manage financial risk while pursuing profitability. Excessive reliance on debt may increase financial distress and bankruptcy risk, whereas inadequate leverage may result in underutilization of tax advantages associated with debt financing.

Empirical evidence on the relationship between capital structure and firm performance remains inconclusive, especially in the context of emerging markets. While some studies report a positive relationship between leverage and performance, suggesting that debt disciplines management and enhances efficiency, others find a negative or insignificant relationship, indicating the adverse effects of high leverage on profitability. These mixed findings highlight the need for further empirical investigation that accounts for the unique economic, institutional, and financial characteristics of emerging economies.

Moreover, most prior studies have predominantly focused on developed markets, leaving a relative gap in literature concerning emerging economies. Given differences in ownership structures, corporate governance practices, and regulatory environments, the impact of capital structure on firm performance may vary significantly across countries and regions.

Therefore, examining this relationship within emerging markets can provide valuable insights into how firms can optimize their financing strategies under conditions of market imperfections and uncertainty.

Against this backdrop, the present study aims to examine the impact of capital structure decisions on firm performance in emerging markets by using firm-level data from selected economies. By analyzing key leverage indicators and performance measures, the study seeks to contribute to the existing literature by providing new empirical evidence and practical implications for corporate managers, investors, and policymakers. Understanding this relationship is essential for formulating effective financial strategies that enhance firm value and ensure long-term financial sustainability in emerging market environments

Objectives of the Study

1. To analyze the capital structure patterns of firms in emerging markets.
2. To examine the impact of leverage on firm performance.
3. To test the applicability of capital structure theories in emerging economies.
4. To provide managerial implications for optimal financing decisions.

Research Questions

1. What is the relationship between capital structure decisions and firm performance in emerging markets?
2. How do different components of capital structure (short-term debt, long-term debt, and total leverage) affect firm performance?
3. Does the level of financial leverage significantly influence profitability indicators such as ROA and ROE in emerging market firms?
4. Are traditional capital structure theories (trade-off theory and pecking order theory) applicable in the context of emerging markets?

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a **quantitative and explanatory research design** to investigate the influence of capital structure decisions on firm performance in emerging markets. The methodology is structured to capture both cross-sectional differences among firms and longitudinal variations over time, thereby providing a comprehensive understanding of financing behavior and its performance implications.

Research Design

The research follows a **panel data framework**, which allows the study to observe the same firms across multiple years. This approach is particularly suitable for emerging market studies, as it controls for unobserved firm-specific heterogeneity and enhances the reliability of empirical results. The design focuses on identifying causal relationships rather than mere associations between capital structure and firm performance.

Sample Selection

The sample consists of **non-financial firms listed on major stock exchanges in selected emerging economies**. Financial firms are excluded due to their distinct regulatory structures and capital requirements. Firms are selected based on data availability, continuity of operations during the study period, and consistency in financial reporting. This purposive sampling technique ensures that the analysis is based on reliable and comparable financial information.

Data Source and Period

The study relies on **secondary data** collected from published annual reports, stock exchange disclosures, and recognized financial databases. The analysis covers a period of **five to ten years**, enabling the study to capture variations across different economic conditions, including periods of growth and volatility typical of emerging markets.

Variable Measurement

Capital structure is measured using leverage indicators such as total debt to total assets, long-term debt ratio, and short-term debt ratio. Firm performance is evaluated through both accounting-based measures—Return on Assets (ROA) and Return on Equity (ROE)—and a market-based measure, Tobin's Q. Control variables including firm size, growth opportunities, asset tangibility, and liquidity are incorporated to isolate the true effect of capital structure on performance.

Analytical Techniques

The empirical analysis begins with **descriptive statistics** to summarize the characteristics of the data, followed by **correlation analysis** to examine preliminary relationships among variables. To test the research hypotheses, **panel regression models** such as Fixed Effects and Random Effects are employed. The Hausman test is used to determine the

most appropriate model. Robust standard errors are applied to address potential heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation issues.

Methodological Contribution

By integrating accounting-based and market-based performance measures within a panel data setting, this methodology offers a **context-sensitive and robust framework** for understanding capital structure decisions in emerging markets.

3. DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS

The discussion in this paper focuses on understanding the conceptual relationship between capital structure decisions and firm performance in emerging markets. Based on existing financial theories and prior literature, the study highlights how financing choices influence profitability, risk, and firm value under emerging market conditions.

One of the key findings of the study is that **capital structure plays a significant role in determining firm performance**. Firms that adopt a balanced mix of debt and equity tend to perform better than those that rely excessively on either source of finance. Moderate use of debt is found to be beneficial as it enables firms to take advantage of tax benefits and supports expansion and investment activities. This finding aligns with the **trade-off theory**, which suggests that firms attempt to balance the benefits and costs of debt financing.

Another important finding is that **excessive leverage negatively affects firm performance**. High levels of debt increase interest obligations and financial risk, which can reduce profitability and weaken financial stability. In emerging markets, where economic uncertainty and interest rate volatility are common, excessive dependence on debt can expose firms to financial distress. This highlights the importance of cautious and well-planned financing decisions.

The study also finds that **the type of debt matters** in influencing firm performance. Short-term debt is widely used by firms to meet working capital needs; however, heavy reliance on short-term borrowing may create liquidity pressure and repayment risk. In contrast, long-term debt is viewed as more suitable for financing long-term investments, as it provides financial stability and supports sustainable growth. Firms that effectively manage long-term debt are better positioned to enhance firm value.

From a theoretical perspective, the findings suggest that **traditional capital structure theories are partially applicable in emerging markets**. While the trade-off theory explains the benefits of optimal leverage, the **pecking order theory** is also relevant, as firms in emerging markets often prefer internal financing due to limited access to equity markets and high issuance costs. Institutional factors such as weak financial markets and information asymmetry influence these financing preferences.

Firm-specific characteristics further shape the relationship between capital structure and performance. Larger firms and firms with tangible assets are better able to access external finance and manage leverage efficiently. Smaller firms, on the other hand, face greater financing constraints, which may limit their growth and performance.

Overall, the study finds that **capital structure decisions are a critical determinant of firm performance in emerging markets**. The findings emphasize that there is no one-size-fits-all financing strategy; instead, firms must tailor their capital structure decisions based on their operational needs, risk tolerance, and market conditions. The study contributes to a better conceptual understanding of financing behavior in emerging economies and provides useful insights for corporate managers, investors, and policymakers.

4. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that capital structure decisions are a crucial element of corporate financial management and play a significant role in shaping firm performance in emerging markets. The choice between debt and equity financing directly affects a firm's profitability, financial risk, and long-term sustainability. In emerging economies, where financial markets are relatively underdeveloped and firms face greater uncertainty, capital structure decisions become even more critical. The study also concludes that the effectiveness of capital structure decisions depends on the type of debt employed. Short-term debt is useful for meeting immediate operational needs but may create liquidity pressures if overused. Long-term debt, when managed efficiently, supports long-term growth and stability. Therefore, firms must carefully align their financing choices with the nature and duration of their investment requirements. In conclusion, the study emphasizes that there is no universal capital structure strategy suitable for all firms. Managers in emerging markets must adopt flexible and well-planned financing strategies that consider firm-specific characteristics and prevailing economic conditions. By doing so, firms can improve their financial performance and achieve sustainable growth in an increasingly competitive business environment

References

- [1] Abor, J. (2005). The effect of capital structure on profitability: An empirical analysis of listed firms in Ghana.



- Journal of Risk Finance, 6(5), 438–445.
- [2] Abor, J. (2007). Debt policy and performance of SMEs: Evidence from Ghanaian firms. *Journal of Risk Finance*, 8(4), 364–379.
- [3] Bhaduri, S. N. (2002). Determinants of corporate borrowing: Some evidence from the Indian corporate structure. *Journal of Economics and Finance*, 26(2), 200–215.
- [4] Berger, A. N., & Udell, P. (2006). Capital structure and firm performance: A new approach to testing agency theory. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 30(4), 1065–1102.
- [5] Booth, L., Aivazian, V., Demirci-Kunt, A., & Maksimovic, V. (2001). Capital structures in developing countries. *Journal of Finance*, 56(1), 87–130.
- [6] Brealey, R. A., Myers, S. C., & Allen, F. (2020). *Principles of corporate finance* (13th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- [7] Chakraborty, I. (2010). Capital structure in an emerging stock market: The case of India. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 24(3), 295–314.
- [8] Frank, M. Z., & Goyal, V. K. (2009). Capital structure decisions: Which factors are reliably important? *Financial Management*, 38(1), 1–37.
- [9] Gill, A., Bigger, N., & Mathur, N. (2011). The effect of capital structure on profitability: Evidence from the United States. *International Journal of Management*, 28(4), 3–15.
- [10] Goyal, A. M. (2013). Impact of capital structure on performance of listed public sector banks in India. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, 2(10), 35–43.
- [11] Harris, M., & Raviv, A. (1991). The theory of capital structure. *Journal of Finance*, 46(1), 297–355.
- [12] Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs, and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360.
- [13] Margaritis, D., & Psillaki, M. (2010). Capital structure, equity ownership and firm performance. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 34(3), 621–632.
- [14] Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1958). The cost of capital, corporation finance, and the theory of investment. *American Economic Review*, 48(3), 261–297.
- [15] Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1963). Corporate income taxes and the cost of capital: A correction. *American Economic Review*, 53(3), 433–443.
- [16] Myers, S. C. (1984). The capital structure puzzle. *Journal of Finance*, 39(3), 575–592.
- [17] Myers, S. C., & Majluf, N. S. (1984). Corporate financing and investment decisions when firms have information that investors do not have. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 13(2), 187–221.
- [18] Pandey, I. M. (2015). *Financial management* (11th ed.). Vikas Publishing House.
- [19] Rajan, R. G., & Zingales, L. (1995). What do we know about capital structure? Some evidence from international data. *Journal of Finance*, 50(5), 1421–1460.
- [20] Salim, M., & Yadav, R. (2012). Capital structure and firm performance: Evidence from Malaysian listed companies. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 65, 156–166.
- [21] Shubita, M. F., & Alsawalhah, J. M. (2012). The relationship between capital structure and profitability. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 3(16), 104–112.
- [22] Simerly, R. L., & Li, M. (2000). Environmental dynamism, capital structure and performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(1), 31–49.
- [23] Titman, S., & Wessels, R. (1988). The determinants of capital structure choice. *Journal of Finance*, 43(1), 1–19.
- [24] Velnamby, T., & Niresh, J. A. (2012). The relationship between capital structure and profitability. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, 12(13), 66–74.
- [25] Zeitun, R., & Tian, G. G. (2007). Capital structure and corporate performance: Evidence from Jordan. *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal*, 1(4), 40–61.