



Access to Justice and Inclusive Development: A Comparative Analysis of Africa and India

Mr. Vaibhav Kumar¹, Ms. Sadhana Nirban², Dr. Indra Daman Tiwari³, Dr. Kartik Agre⁴, Harshita Bindaiya⁵

¹Assistant Professor, TMCLLS, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad.

²Assistant professor, School of Legal Studies, K.R. Mangalam University.

³Assistant Professor, School of Law, T.S. Mishra University (Lucknow).

⁴Assistant Professor (Senior Scale), School of Law, UPES, Dehradun.

⁵Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, Medicaps University, Indore

Cite This Paper as: Mr. Vaibhav Kumar, Ms. Sadhana Nirban, Dr. Indra Daman Tiwari, Dr. Kartik Agre, Harshita Bindaiya (2025) Access to Justice and Inclusive Development: A Comparative Analysis of Africa and India. *The Journal of African Development* 1, Vol.6, No.1 523-529

KEYWORDS

*Access to Justice,
Rule of Law,
Justice System,
Democratic
System, Court of
Law*

ABSTRACT

The problem of allowing equitable access to justice is especially complicated in Africa and India where pluralistic legal systems usually incorporate formal state law and customary and traditional legal systems. It is also complicated by the fact that the problem is complicated by geographical obstacles, economic inequalities, and the ignorance of administrative law among marginalized communities. In addition, the informality of the dispute resolution procedures in most rural and peri-urban or other environments tends to act beyond the control of the official judicial system, casting doubts on accountability and justice, especially to the vulnerable populations. In this paper, a comparative analysis of the strategies and results connected to improving access to justice in chosen African countries and India will also be conducted, and the following question will be answered: How these initiatives allow supporting the wider objectives of inclusive development. It explores the constitutional provisions, institutional practices and innovative solutions, including online dispute resolution and legal aid programs that have been used by these territories to close the justice gap. In particular, it explores the effectiveness of the legal aid mechanisms, especially in India, in giving a fair access to legal assistance to poor layers of society, thus fulfilling the constitutional requirement of the Art 39A. ..

1. INTRODUCTION

The importance of access to justice is highlighted more and more in the international discussion of human rights and sustainable socio-economic development (Islam et al., 2024). This guiding aspect is also part of inclusive development, where every person, regardless of his or her socio-economic status, is able to exercise his or her rights and get redress to grievances (Shukla, 2020). The problem of allowing equitable access to justice is especially complicated in Africa and India where pluralistic legal systems usually incorporate formal state law and customary and traditional legal systems (Rautenbach & Somaru, 2021). It is also complicated by the fact that the problem is complicated by geographical obstacles, economic inequalities, and the ignorance of administrative law among marginalized communities (Tiwari and Singh, 2025). In addition, the informality of the dispute resolution procedures in most rural and peri-urban or other environments tends to act beyond the control of the official judicial system, casting doubts on accountability and justice, especially to the vulnerable populations (Singh and Chauhan, 2024). In this paper, a comparative analysis of the strategies and results connected to improving access to justice in chosen African countries and India will also be conducted, and the following question will be answered: How these initiatives allow supporting the wider objectives of inclusive development (Islam et al., 2024; Kotor-kamara, 2024). It explores the constitutional provisions, institutional practices and innovative solutions, including online dispute resolution and legal aid programs, that have been used by these territories to close the justice gap (-, 2024; Singh, 2024). In particular, it explores the effectiveness of the legal aid mechanisms, especially in India, in giving a fair access to legal assistance to poor layers of society, thus fulfilling the constitutional requirement of the Art 39A (-, 2024; Suhail, and Singhal, 2025). Regardless of these constitutional guidelines, there is still a practical problem with



underfunding.

bureaucracy, and biases in the society that has to overcome the full potential of legal aid in India especially in cases that require the services of vulnerable populations, e.g. the elderly (Rajashree & Singai, 2022; ShashiKant, 2024) Seemingly despite these constitutional mandates

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS FOR ACCESS TO JUSTICE AND DEVELOPMENT

The comprehensive conception of access to justice requires that we consider both institutionalized perspectives, which treat access to justice as access to formal courts, and contextual conceptualizations that take into consideration what people expect justice to be and what alternative dispute resolution processes involve (Foddai, 2021). Such a dualism is critical in determining how the laws systems have been effective in tackling the injustices within the society and in ensuring that the society is corporately developed by offering equitable participation in the different societies as well as protecting human rights of the various groups of people (Guruswamy & Aspatwar, 2013).

2.1. Rights-Based Approaches to Development

This paradigm emphasizes the movement towards stating that the development operations should hang on the principles of human rights that presuppose that people can claim their rights and participate in the life process of making decisions that could make them feel that they mean something (Tiwari and Singh, 2025). The approach reminds that justice systems should assist in facilitating the enjoyment of fundamental freedoms as well as increasing social equity and therefore enables the establishment of an environment that supports sustainable and inclusive development (Chaara et al., 2022). To illustrate, post-conflict democracies like South Africa have also transformed constitutional reform so as to restore the rights of citizens, and state duties in order to transform anticipations regarding law and judicial frameworks (Leach, 2018). Similarly, the Indian constitutional provisions like Article 39A actively aim to create free legal assistance and the latter would consent to a rights based development paradigm because the economically disadvantaged entities would have access to justice (Mann, 2022; Suhail and Singhal, 2025).

2.2. Rule of Law and Governance Theories

In this theoretical framework, it is suggested that robust rule of law, signified as being characterized by transparent laws, autonomous judicial mechanism and an unhindered access to justice is a fundamental feature of good governance, and, consequently, an inclusive development. This construct identifies the purpose of a consistent implementation of legal values and due process to encourage investor confidence, reduce corruption, and even fair distribution of resources that lead to a direct growth of the economy and social integration. In addition, the accountability of the states institutions and the protection of the individual liberties that are central to the development of the society sustainably depends on good governance that is founded on the rule of law (Farrow, 2014).

2.3. Socio-Legal Studies and Justice Systems

It is an interdisciplinary approach to understanding a complex relationship between the law and the society based on the observation of how legal norms are implemented, construed, and exercised across multiple social, economical, and political contexts (Implications of Digitalization and AI in the Justice System: A Glance at the Socio-Legal Angle, 2024). It presents informality in legal pluralism that prevails with most of the African and Indian cultures where the existing laws and existing systems of disputes resolutions are combined with the formal systems of law and other systems play a large part in forming how individuals demand and receive justice. Particularly, the application of this paradigm to uncover the problem of poverty eradication is an economic problem but a legal and human rights problem as well, particularly in the areas such as the Sub-Saharan African region where abject poverty has been centralized (Arimoro, 2023). In turn, socio-legal scholarship emphasizes the necessity to shift the attention past the economic indicators to the comprehension of the realities of vulnerable populations who face discrimination and social exclusion (Arimoro, 2023).

2.4. Critical Perspectives on Justice and Development

This school of thought critically reviews the fact that legal systems are usually influenced by power relations, the injustices of the past, and structural inequalities and that may continue to promote and not reduce marginalization in development projects. It disputes traditional ideas of justice by pointing to how legal systems can be captured by dominant interests to the detriment of real inclusive development and increased differences (Crawford, 2015). This involves questioning how legal changes, commonly promoted by international organizations, can be unintentionally driven by economic liberalization instead of social justice, which results in the growing inequality of the wealthy and the poor (Arimoro, 2023; Lee, 2018). In addition, the critical schools challenge the universality of Western legal paradigm in other cultural settings, espousing the need to adopt more responsive strategies regarding local norms and practices in the name of higher equity and more sustainable development (Griffiths, 2017).

3. ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN AFRICA: A REGIONAL OVERVIEW

The African countries have different legal environments that bring about a web of problems as well as opportunities in ensuring the establishment of improved access to justice, and systems of long-term informal justice to systems of new formal law. This dichotomy often results in some form of legal pluralism, whereby state law is superimposed by customary law and traditional dispute resolution conventions, through which people seek their means of obtaining justice (Woodman, 1996). This dynamic interrelation is very powerful in defining the effectiveness of the official legal assistance means and it demands a delicate approach that tolerates and even adopts these parallel mechanisms to successfully drive access to justice by all citizens (Corradi, 2013).

3.1. Formal Justice Systems and Their Challenges

Some of the problems that these formal systems often face include the presence of backlogs in cases, insufficient geographic coverage, and lack of resources that contribute to their failure to provide timely and fair justice specifically to the marginalized groups (Onafuwa, 2021). In Ethiopia, an example, even after a court is set up at every administrative level, local justice cannot be accessed by its largely rural population because of infrastructure shortages, both physically and economically (Ayanie, 2022). In addition, most of the African courts have problems in terms of under-funding, corruption and lack of competent legal practitioners, which contribute to the problems in the delivery of effective legal services and the general lack of confidence in the general service due to inefficiency in their formal systems (Onyango, 2008). These restrictions frequently force those individuals to find redress in either informal or traditional forms of justice despite the existence of formal legal systems that may apply to their conflicts (Chukwudebelu, 2024). This dependence on informal forms of justice is further undermined by the fact that the international development players tend to focus on formal system changes, ignoring the importance and possibility of such community-based methods (Chopra & Isser, 2012).

3.2. Informal Justice Mechanisms and Customary Law

These systems are usually based on long-standing community traditions and family bonds, and they have a major impact on the life of people, even more prominent than the state-approved mechanisms (Epple and Assefa, 2020). In fact, in certain areas, more than 80% of conflicts are settled by informal means of justice, which highlights their strong relevance to society (Kerrigan et al., 2012). These informal dispute resolution mechanisms, which include different community leaders and traditional authorities, offer important governance services especially where in regions that has poor or nonexistent state structures consequently enhance access to conflict resolution (Funjika & Honig, 2025). Nevertheless, international reform efforts have often failed to acknowledge the political aspect of justice delivery embedded in these informal organizations, which are ingrained in local social organization, such as kinship ties and secret societies, and that of traditional rulers (Greener, 2019).

3.3. Barriers to Justice for Vulnerable Populations

Indigenous communities, specifically, encounter substantial barriers in their way to accessing justice and, in most cases, indigenous communities have problems with political recognition and participation, as well as protection of their land rights (Young and Sing'Oei, 2014). Traditional barriers such as discriminatory customary laws and economic disempowerment also affect women, children, and displaced persons because they cannot easily assert their legal claims and achieve fair results (Chukwudebelu, 2024). Also, a combination of these vulnerabilities can often lead to compounding disfavours; therefore, it is exceedingly difficult to experience individuals on the other side of the border between multiple sidelined identities maneuver justice systems (Ahmad and Wangenheim, 2021). Such magnified marginalization very much underlines the acute need of particular interventions which entail the perspectives of the legal and social-economic barriers akin to such groups (Shahid, 2012).

3.4. Innovations and Reforms in African Justice Sectors

The majority of the African nations have embarked on new policies and changes that would make their different sectors of justice stronger and would often include alternative dispute resolution systems along with technology that would make these systems more efficient and more accessible. Among such attempts, there are the establishment of special courts, legal-advice offices, the development of mobile applications in which legal information is available and pro bono services are directed. In addition, nations are also deliberating on applying the traditional systems of dispute resolution to the formal law systems to exploit their community legitimacy and effectiveness in certain contexts (Abe and Ouma, 2017; Chukwudebelu, 2024).

4. INCLUSIVE DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES IN AFRICA

4.1. Economic Empowerment and Poverty Reduction Programs

Such undertakings are often a common subject of program in microfinance, vocational education and support of small and medium enterprises to empower the poor as well as encourage sustainable economic growth. Such activities will enhance access to justice indirectly as it will improve economic stability, reduce poverty, and financial impoverishment has been one of the greatest discouraging factors to seeking justice. Besides, the unequal wealth distribution is typically linked to

the lack of access to basic services, including legal assistance, which subsequently leads to a series of poverty and injustice (Nsafon et al., 2023).

4.2. Social Inclusion and Equity Policies

These policies are essential because they dismantled structural discrimination and the establishment of an equal opportunity amid all the citizens of a country, especially those who have traditionally been marginalized, which means that they have a right to exercise their full spectrum in a society and an economy. This includes developing a powerful framework that would address the gender inequality, racial discrimination, and disability rights that would establish a field where all people would have access to the justice without any form of prejudice (Ivanov, 2024). Besides that, institutional biases and structural inequalities that hinder access to justice among vulnerable populations need to be mitigated by implementing Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion programs, which call upon the adoption of policies targeted at the reform of the educational systems and activation of the grassroots (Ellul & Friggieri, 2025).

4.3. Environmental Justice and Sustainable Development

These initiatives are necessary to soften the fact that sad aftermath of the negative impact of the environmental degradation is on the underprivileged group, advocacy of the equality of access to the natural resources, and sustainable lifestyles that support the long-term well-being of the entire society. This will entail the implementation of environmental policies to preserve ecology and promote community-based conservation and initiation that is inextricably linked with the social and economic welfare of the vulnerable communities (Chukwudebelu, 2024). Furthermore, the integration of environmental justice concepts in the national development plans can help the local communities realize their rights to the environmental exploitation and pollution which will consequently assist them to have better access to the justice and equal developmental outputs. Moreover, strong social safety nets, including unemployment benefits, food stamps, and housing, are the key to reducing economic precarity that is frequently a source of restricted access to justice by underprivileged people (Duan, 2024).

4.4. The Role of Civil Society in Development

The role of civil society organizations as the bridge between marginalized groups and formal justice is also relevant since they have been known to offer legal assistance, awareness-building efforts, and policy advocacy (Okoro, 2022; Teremetskyi et al., 2021). They are often involved in the implementation of community-based initiatives that form the human rights, good governance, and accountability, boosting democratic institutions, and equitably distributing development benefits throughout the society (Sanchez-Soriano et al., 2024). They can play a crucial role in checking government activities, insisting on including vulnerable groups in the process of making decisions and bringing the vulnerable groups closer to the development strategies (Carmichael, 2023; Gupta and Vegelin, 2023).

5. ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN INDIA: A NATIONAL PERSPECTIVE

The Indian legal system that exemplifies a confounding peculiarity of a common law as well as statute laws and individual laws has its unique challenges and opportunities in the delivery of fair access to justice by its vast and diversified population. The sections are going to be elaborate of the applicable mechanisms and reform initiatives undertaken in India in an endeavor to address the complexities with specific focus on the efforts to address the disparities in socioeconomic status and geographical impediments.

5.1. The Indian Judicial System and its Structure

The hierarchical system, with the Supreme Court at the top, and a vast number of district and lower courts at the bottom, is also supplemented by various tribunals and quasi judicial organizations that are supposed to serve some types of law and enhance judicial efficiency and speed. It might also be worth noting that special legal organizations such as the National Green Tribunal have been essential in addressing Indian environmental disputes, and a representation of environmentally sustainable development and environmental justice (Sharma and Choudhary, 2025). No matter the developments, old structures of judicial congestions, infrastructure deficiency, and inaccessibility by the marginalised communities continue to mediate proper provision of justice to all citizens (Gurmessa, 2018).

5.2. Legal Aid and Public Interest Litigation

The systems have been significant in democratizing access to justice in India, as the poor are using the judicial system to assert their rights under the law using it as opposed individuals and organizations in India. Specifically, Public Interest Litigation has emerged as a disarmingly powerful tool wherein any individual or even an organization can approach the courts on behalf of those who cannot, thus society-wide ills, on a larger scale societal ills, can be addressed (Nugraha & Lubis, 2024). This enlargement of locus standi has played a central role in making the judicial system live up to needs of the poor and disadvantaged (Halde, 2011).

5.3. Challenges of Delay and Backlog in Courts

The fact that litigation can be long in India, as there is a high number of delays, and cases that are pending are rarely finished, can erode the faith of people in the judicial system, and suggest that marginal litigants are disproportionately harmed by this because they cannot afford to go through a lengthy litigation process. The major factor attributed to this phenomenon has been a combination of forces that include the lack of a sufficient number of judges, the lack of proper court infrastructure, and the rising number of new cases being filed (Anbarasi & Sankar, 2025). The number of unresolved cases, estimated to be more than 40 million, has a devastating impact on the judicial infrastructure and leaves many people in search of justice (Singh and Chauhan, 2024).

6. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: AFRICA AND INDIA

This part also analyses critically the differences and similarities between the access to justice structures and their influence on inclusive development in African countries and India given that they share common colonial pasts and face similar socio-economic issues. An in-depth comparative study shows that both regions have implemented new legal and institutional innovations to solve the problem of environmental justice with success and failure, and in different contextual terms (Farque, 2024a, 2024b). The factors are underlying to the regions, and they encompass the judicial capacity, infrastructural limitations and socio-economic inequalities, which significantly impede the smooth running of the justice system in both regions (Krishnan et al., 2013).

6.1. Similarities in Challenges to Access to Justice

The widespread problem of judicial backlogs and the delays is a critical similarity since it compromises the trust of the people; it is more and more prevalent among most vulnerable groups in both African countries and India. Further, interpreted to allow greater liberalization of locus standi as present in both cases, even so, still demonstrates significant obstacles to the practice of public interest litigation, especially in the environmental arena (Ekeke, 2014; Omuko-Jung, 2021). Moreover, these problems are exacerbated by resource limitations, such as the lack of judicial staff and inappropriate infrastructure, which makes it difficult to deliver justice in both areas efficiently and promptly (Gupta & Bolia, 2023). Most of these challenges are exacerbated by the absence of special environmental courts and tribunals in many jurisdictions, generalist courts may not be equipped with the technical know-how to deal effectively with complex environmental claims (Amirante, 2012).

6.2. Divergent Approaches to Inclusive Development

Although they share these common challenges there are often differences in the strategies of inclusive development, even though African countries often focus on regionalization and the pan-African legal framework, whereas India is oriented on a more centralized (though diverse) national strategy on socio-economic planning and legal reform. Such divergent strategies represent different historical paths and political economies, which affect the way in which the justice systems are constituted and the way in which inclusive development is sought in each of the situations. The African human rights system, which is the post-colonial imperative, has attempted at introducing a wide range of rights, such as economic, social, and cultural rights, into its system and has aimed at a more holistic understanding of development and justice (Sanchez, 2023).

6.3. Lessons Learned from Policy Innovations

Africa and India have set out on major policy innovations to improve access to justice and inclusive development based on experience in legal reform and institutional strengthening. An example is that development of paralegal networks, as shown in South Africa, has been a community-driven strategy to access the legal service to underserved groups (Muralidhar, 2022).

7. CONCLUSION

Equally, the strong system of litigating public interests in India has seen civil society groups using judicial activism to achieve environmental conservation and human rights, and through this avenue of fighting systemic injustices, judicial activism can profoundly change societal imbalances (Puvimanasinghe, 2010). Nevertheless, even with these steps, there are still considerable gaps in the implementation and securing of fundamental rights, especially with regard to systematic problems such as corruption, economic inequalities, and environmental injustice as it relates to case studies in low- and middle-income countries (Mortada & Pagani, 2025). These imbalances are frequently inherent to the power disparities which are deeply rooted and social inequalities that undermine the certainty of law and disproportionately target vulnerable communities, particularly when it comes to environmental justice (Barus & Widiyarti, 2024). The effectiveness of legal reforms and judicial intervention is often undermined by the enduring problem of implementation and enforcement, which can often have been worsened by political problems and bureaucratic delays (Menski, 2016). This highlights the urgent need to conduct constant review and assessment of legal frameworks to guarantee their pragmatic effectiveness and to overcome the disproportionately high inequalities in access to justice that bedevil both regions (Jaman et al., 2023). Further integration and holism is thus required, one that recognises the multifaceted and interconnected relationship between the

law structures, socio-economic context, and political will in realising real access to justice and sustainable inclusive development in Africa and India (Mortada & Pagani, 2025; Zein et al., 2025). This in-depth assessment can therefore determine strong points of policy intervention to ensure that, legal literacy and more equitable legal assistance structures are the immediate concern in empowering marginalized communities (Banna et al., 2025). Moreover, the paper recommends enhancing legal aid services and enacting legal system reforms aimed at transparency and accountability as important steps to solving the current inequality in accessing justice and building increased public confidence (Banna et al., 2025).

REFERENCES

1. Abe, O., & Ouma, S. (2017). A Re-Assessment of the Impact and Potency of Traditional Dispute Resolution Mechanisms in Post-Conflict Africa. SSRN Electronic Journal. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3030666>
2. Ahmad, J., & Wangenheim, G. von. (2021). Access to justice: an evaluation of the informal justice systems. *Liberal Arts and Social Sciences International Journal (LASSIJ)*, 5(1), 228. <https://doi.org/10.47264/idea.lassij/5.1.16>
3. Amirante, D. (2012). Environmental Courts in Comparative Perspective: Preliminary Reflections on the National Green Tribunal of India. *Pace Environmental Law Review*, 29(2), 441. <https://doi.org/10.58948/0738-6206.1693>
4. Anbarasi, G., & Sankar, D. (2025). Greening the justice system: assessing the legality, feasibility, and potential of artificial intelligence in advancing environmental sustainability within the Indian judiciary. *Frontiers in Political Science*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2025.1553705>
5. Arimoro, A. E. (2023). Beyond Economics! The (Evolving) Role of Law in the Eradication of Extreme Poverty. *Journal of Human Rights and Social Work*, 8(2), 192. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41134-023-00247-2>
6. Ayanie, F. T. (2022). Micro intervention as an alternative way towards social justice and poverty alleviation in Ethiopia. *Journal of Poverty and Social Justice*, 31(2), 276. <https://doi.org/10.1332/175982721x16632370829128>
7. Mahapatra, H., Mohanty, A., Bhattar, K., Nayak, S., Gupta, Y. C., Kakkar, K., & Singh, J. (2025). RE-CALIBRATING FAMILY LIFE IN THE AGE OF INDIVIDUAL AUTONOMY: COMPARATIVE INSIGHTS ON MARRIAGE, PARENTHOOD, AND STATE INTERVENTION. *Lex Localis*, 23(S5), 1616-1628.
8. Ramya, J., Nakkeeran, I. L. A., Nandini, D., Batar, M. S., & Pandey, K. K. (2025). Internal Marketing through HRM: Enhancing Employee Engagement for Superior Customer Experience. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 2, 333-338.
9. Jha, R. S., Tyagi, N., Jain, V., Chaudhary, A., & Sourabh, B. (2020). Role of Ethics in Indian Politics. *Waffen-Und Kostumkunde Journal*, 9(8), 88-97.
10. Gupta, Y. C. INDIA AND INDIGENOUS PEOPLE'S RIGHTS: AN OVERVIEW. AMIT VERMA, 54.
11. Dubey, M. B. (2025). CRIMINOLOGY AND SOCIAL CONTROL THEORIES. CONTOURS OF CONTEMPORARY LEGAL RESEARCH: A MULTIDISCIPLINARY PERSPECTIVE-VOLUME 3: CRIMINAL JUSTICE, FORENSICS, AND EMERGING LEGAL PARADIGMS, 73.
12. Batar, S. (2025). CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM IN INDIA: ISSUES AND REFORMS. CONTOURS OF CONTEMPORARY LEGAL RESEARCH: A MULTIDISCIPLINARY PERSPECTIVE-VOLUME 3: CRIMINAL JUSTICE, FORENSICS, AND EMERGING LEGAL PARADIGMS, 1.
13. Dubey, B., & Das, D. (2025). Legal Framework for Mediation in Family Disputes in India: Analysis of Statutes, Case Laws, and Mediation Mechanisms. *International Journal of Law Management & Humanities*, 8, 4476-4489.
14. Sharma, M. A., Mahal, S. G., Irene, M., Batar, M. S., Gupta, M. Y. C., & Kumar, M. A. Evolving Jurisprudence On Marital Rape: A Comparative Legal Study.
15. Dubey, M. B. (2025). FEDERALISM IN INDIA: CHALLENGES AND PROSPECTS. *Archives*.
16. Batar, S. (2021). Gender inequality in india: an overview. *ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11(12), 458-464.
17. Shettigar, R., Kulkarni, N., Radhi, M. N. M., Batar, M. S., & Sheikh, S. (2025). Gamified Learning through ICT: Transforming Student Engagement in the 21st Century. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 2(4), 1793-1802.
18. Banna, N. H. A., Ardana, N. N., Kurniawan, M. F., & Prasetyo, R. D. (2025). Analisis Ketimpangan Keadilan di Indonesia: Potret Buram Hukum yang Berpihak pada Kuasa. *Pancasila Jurnal Keindonesiaan*, 5(1), 125. <https://doi.org/10.52738/pjk.v5i1.728>
19. Barus, S. I., & Widiyarti, D. (2024). The Right to a Healthy Environment. *Journal of Contemporary Sociological Issues*, 4(2), 165. <https://doi.org/10.19184/csi.v4i2.45799>
20. Carmichael, R. L. (2023). Exploring Environmental Inequalities among Marginalized Communities across the World. *International Journal of Humanity and Social Sciences*, 1(1), 30. <https://doi.org/10.47941/ijhss.1430>
21. Chaara, I., Falisse, J., & Moriceau, J. (2022). Does legal aid improve access to justice in 'fragile' settings? Evidence from Burundi. *Journal of Peace Research*, 59(6), 810. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00223433211055633>

22. Chopra, T., & Isser, D. (2012). Access to Justice and Legal Pluralism in Fragile States: The Case of Women's Rights. *Hague Journal on the Rule of Law*, 4(2), 337. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s187640451200019x>
23. Chukwudebelu, I. A. (2024). Reconciling Cultural Values with Legal Principles: Traditional African Justice Systems in Contemporary Context. *Journal of Legal Subjects*, 44, 12. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.44.12.23>
24. Rajashree, K., & Singai, C. (2022). Reforms in legal aid and awareness with regard to the aged in India: A case for an inclusive approach. *Jindal Global Law Review*, 13(2), 253. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41020-022-00178-5>
25. Sharma, D., & Choudhary, A. (2025). Environmental Disputes and Resolution through Mediation in India: A Study of Environmental Dispute Resolution Mechanism. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 41. <https://doi.org/10.64252/jpv96w23>
26. ShashiKant, Mr. S. (2024). A Study Of Legal Aid In Current Scenario. *Journal Of Advanced Zoology*, 413. <https://doi.org/10.53555/jaz.v45is2.5031>
27. Shukla, A. (2020). Access to Justice for Marginalized People in India. 1(1). <https://mslr.pubpub.org/pub/ii7rd56v>
28. Singh, A. (2024). Access to justice seeking: an overburdened judiciary paving a future for online dispute resolution (ODR) in India. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4770966>
29. Singh, A., & Chauhan, P. S. (2024). Bridging Justice Paradigms: Lok Adalat and ADR Mechanisms. *International Journal of Criminal Common and Statutory Law*, 4(2), 146. <https://doi.org/10.22271/27899497.2024.v4.i2b.103>
30. Tiwari, A., & Singh, V. V. (2025). Legal literacy and awareness for accessing justice: Judicial contribution and approach in India. *International Journal of Social Science and Education Research*, 7(1), 733. <https://doi.org/10.33545/26649845.2025.v7.i1i.274>

